Interview Summary	10/723,849	PADGETT, MICHAEL JOHN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thai Q. Phan	2128
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Thai Q. Phan</u> .	(3) Mike Packett	(n'euto
(2) WeNDY BUSICOP Date of Interview: 927/04	(4)	
Date of Interview: 927 04		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed limitations proposed in amendment. Applicant explained the differences between Carrazonne and his invention. Applicant main invention was not wellings data and wavelet as well in Carrazonne but the plating of Attribute files with the brizonta files for but the plating of Attribute files with the brizonta files for horizontal binning as claimed in Claim! The examiner horizontal binning as claimed in Claim! the invention has considered the discussion today and the invention appears destinguishable over the art of reend.